

This paper compares the economics of shale gas and Light Tight Oil (LTO) with conventional (sandstone/carbonate reservoir) oil and gas development. It's a complex issue: this is a high level introduction to the different business risk considerations between the two assets: it is intended for anyone interested in the key exploration, appraisal and development risk factors leading up to Final Investment Decision (FID).

## OVERVIEW OF SHALE AND CONVENTIONAL ASSET INVESTMENT CONSIDERATIONS

### SHALE RESOURCE, ONSHORE

Exploration and Appraisal activities run together to estimate reserves, assessing geology, natural fractures, hydrocarbon content and amenability to hydraulic fracturing.

Wells produce at very low rates compared to conventional wells. Hundreds are needed to exploit the shale rock. Most are drilled horizontally for thousands of metres through the rock and hydraulically fractured to produce commercially.

At FID for development, reserves uncertainty is similar to conventional after exploration but before appraisal. Capturing the upside requires market access, infrastructure, acceptable fiscal terms, and the ability to handle high intensity development. The project can be adjusted, scaled up or down, as it proceeds, according to market conditions and new information.

- Reserves uncertainty is high as the resource covers a large, heterogeneous area. Many wells need to be drilled to establish average rate and recovery /well.
- Well production decline rates are ~40% /yr. So the bulk of a well's production occurs in a few years, reducing exposure to market fluctuations when estimating probable returns.
- Financial performance measured by Internal Rate of Return (IRR) vary greatly. Some shale gas reservoirs are commercial at gas prices < \$3/MMBTU. Others need significantly higher prices. Shale LTO requires oil prices across a range of \$45-\$65/Barrel (bbl).
- Economies of scale and continuous improvement are critical to success and need a manufacturing approach to drilling large numbers of similar wells, as well as close supply chain management.
- A robust service sector is needed to sustain operations.
- Projects can be controversial with significant social/political factors due to work intensity, long duration, and perceived environmental impact.

### CONVENTIONAL RESERVOIR, OFFSHORE, MAJOR ONSHORE

Exploration seismic and drilling identifies a potential structure (trap), but "Appraisal" drilling (typically 3-10 wells) then takes place to reduce production rate, reserves and other uncertainties to an acceptable level before optimising the development for FID . Wells may be vertical, inclined, or horizontal in the reservoir but seldom exceed a few tens of meters length in the reservoir itself and may or may not be hydraulically fractured.


Following FID, capital is committed and spent to complete the development, including production wells and associated facilities. This capital cannot be recovered if market conditions change during production or if the downside uncertainties (reserves, costs, market access etc.) materialise. There is much less control over eventual profit once the project is developed.

- Reserves are estimated using appraisal well test data.
- Well production declines at typically ~8%/yr and wells are drilled in a short time period. Therefore the project return is highly exposed to market changes over time.
- Financial performance of conventional reservoirs varies with size, accessibility (deep water, well depth, temperature/pressure, transportation to market), oil quality, etc. Viability ranges widely from below \$10 to over \$80/bbl. Gas well economics depend on local market price or access to LNG projects.
- Economies of scale do not apply to the same extent as in shale oil or shale gas.
- Services are summoned for ad-hoc needs as required.
- Environmental risk is usually lower than for shale oil and shale gas unless operating in pristine, fragile or challenging environments such as the Arctic.

Shale wells have low production and low reserves per well –1.8 bcf/well vs. 133-160 bcfe/well for conventional hydrocarbons.

**Bcf** = billions cubic feet  
**Bcfe** = billions cubic feet equivalent  
**Source:** WBC Training

Economics: Comparing Unconventional and Conventional projects  
 Single well production profiles!



### COURSES

- 3 Day MBA in Oil & Gas
- Contracts & Negotiations in Oil & Gas
- Project Management
- High Performance Leadership
- Procurement and Supply Management
- 3 Day MBA in Energy in Transition
- Fast Track to Leadership

### OTHER WBC GUIDES

- The E&P Business Case
- Petroleum Economics
- Production Sharing Contracts
- Portfolio Management
- Board Metrics in E&P Companies
- Reserves

### ABOUT WBC TRAINING

We help build management and leadership capabilities in business with a range of courses delivered online and globally

### CONTACT US

wbctraining.com  
 info@wbctraining.com